

A Comparative Analysis of Transfected and Integrated Auxin Reporter Systems Reveals Sensitivity Advantages in Protoplast Transient Expression Assays

Joseph S. Taylor¹, Eric A. Villaseñor¹, James Rashkovsky¹, Jaime Simson¹, R. Clay Wright², Bastiaan O. R. Bargmann^{1§}

¹School of Plant and Environmental Sciences, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, Virginia, United States

²Department of Biological Systems Engineering, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, Virginia, United States

[§]To whom correspondence should be addressed: bastiaan@vt.edu

Abstract

Reporter-gene activation studies using transient transformation of protoplasts are a powerful tool for the investigation of transcriptional regulation in plants. Here, we perform a comparative analysis of reporter-gene activation sensitivity using an integrated versus a co-transfected reporter-gene construct in Arabidopsis seedling mesophyll protoplasts. The DR5 synthetic auxin-responsive promoter was used to assay the response to auxin treatment and over-expression of activator Auxin Response Factors. We show that sensitivity, as measured by the fold-change in fluorescent-protein reporter-gene expression, is significantly increased by using a co-transfected reporter-gene construct.





A) A schematic representation of the pBeaconRFP transient effector construct, a plasmid containing a 35S-driven mRFP positive marker and Gateway cassette. B) A schematic representation of the pEvTV transient reporter construct, containing a Gateway cassette connected to a minimal 35S-driven Venus. C-D) pBeaconRFP_GUS control and ARF Δ effector constructs were transfected into Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts containing the stably integrated DR5rev::GFP reporter gene (C) or wild-type mesophyll protoplasts co-transfected with the pEvTV_DR5 reporter construct (D). Reporter activity is presented as fold change relative to the untreated control. +auxin samples were treated with 100 nM of IAA overnight. Transfections and treatments were performed in triplicate; statistical significance was assayed by one-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey post hoc test; bars indicate standard error, letters indicate homogeneous subsets (p < 0.05; n=3).

Description

Reporter-gene activation studies using transient transformation of protoplasts are a powerful tool for the investigation of transcriptional regulation in plants. Some of the prominent advantages of this technique are the speed and throughput with which experiments can be conducted as well as the ability to have a quantitative read-out of reporter-gene activation.

These assays have been used extensively in the study of auxin signaling. One of the earliest examples of its use is the investigation of the auxin-responsive GH3 promoter (Liu et al., 1994). This study made use of protoplasts derived from carrot cell-suspension cultures that were transformed with two plasmids: one with the auxin-responsive reporter-gene constructs driving the expression of β -glucuronidase (GUS) and one with the constitutive 35S CaMV promoter control construct driving the expression of luciferase. To account for differences between various transfections and promoter constructs, the GUS activity measured for each transfection was normalized by dividing it by the luciferase activity from that same sample. Both were measured by assaying cell lysate using a fluorimeter and luminometer, respectively.

Subsequent studies using this technique to study the auxin signaling pathway included a third plasmid, the effector construct that used a constitutive promoter to drive the expression of transcriptional activators or repressors to test their effect on reporter-gene activation, e.g., (Ulmasov et al., 1997a, 1997b). Later work transitioned to the use of Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts (Tiwari et al., 2001). Later still, investigations into factors influencing auxin-responsive reporter-gene activation switched to using a reporter gene that was integrated into the genome of stably transformed Arabidopsis transgenic lines, as opposed to a co-transfected reporter-gene construct (Wang et al., 2005; Tiwari et al., 2006).

Pivoting away from enzymatic reporter-gene assays, we developed the use of flow-cytometric quantification of fluorescent reporter-gene activation in individual protoplasts a few years later (Bargmann and Birnbaum, 2009). This technique made use of an effector plasmid (pBeaconRFP; Figure 1A) that simultaneously expresses a positive fluorescent selection marker (red fluorescent protein; RFP) along with the effector-of-interest and measures the output of an integrated reporter gene consisting of an auxin-responsive promoter driving the expression of a green fluorescent protein (DR5rev::GFP (Benková et al., 2003), henceforth, DR5::GFP). This technique allowed for the flow-cytometric quantification of reporter-gene activation only in the successfully transformed (RFP-positive) protoplasts with the transfection of just one plasmid. So far, we have applied this approach primarily to protoplasts derived from Arabidopsis seedling roots (Bargmann and Birnbaum, 2009; Yu et al., 2015; Gonzalez et al., 2021). Additionally, we have also seen strong activation of a co-transfected DR5::Venus reporter-gene construct (pEvTV_DR5; Figure 1B) as measured by flow-cytometric quantification in cannabis mesophyll protoplasts (Beard et al., 2021).

In recent projects, we have shifted to using transient transformation of Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts over those from seedling roots for auxin-responsive reporter-gene activation assays. This has been motivated by the ease of obtaining larger quantities of mesophyll protoplasts compared to seedling root protoplasts and the ability to study reporter-gene activation in a more homogeneous cell population. However, we noticed that, in our hands, the activation of the integrated DR5::GFP reporter gene was less sensitive in mesophyll protoplasts compared to root protoplasts. Therefore, we set out to test whether the use of a co-transfected reporter-gene construct allows for a more sensitive readout in Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts.

Protoplasts were prepared from the cotyledons and leaves of seven-day-old seedlings, either wild-type (Col-0) or transgenic lines carrying the integrated DR5::GFP reporter gene (also in the Col-0 background). After isolation and purification, the protoplasts were transfected with pBeaconRFP plasmids with various effectors and, in the case of co-transfection experiments in the wild-type seedlings, the pEvTV reporter construct with the DR5 promoter. The pBeaconRFP constructs were made to express either GUS (as an inert control that should not affect the auxin response) or truncated versions (Δ) of two class A Auxin Response Factor (ARF) transcription factors, <u>ARF5 (AT1G19850)</u> or <u>ARF7 (AT5G20730</u>). These truncated ARFs lack the C-terminal PB1 protein interaction domain and therefore fail to interact with the natively expressed Aux/IAA repressors. In essence, <u>ARF5</u> Δ and <u>ARF7</u> Δ are gain-of-function, or irrepressible, ARFs that are expected to activate the transcriptional auxin response even in the absence of auxin (Krogan et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2015; Gonzalez et al., 2021). Some of the pBeaconRFP_GUS transfected control cells were also treated with 100 nM auxin (indole-3-acetic acid; IAA) overnight, as a positive control to elicit the auxin response.

The next day, the fluorescent properties of the transfected protoplasts were measured by flow cytometry. The GFP or Venus intensity as a measure of reporter-gene activation was quantified in the RFP-positive cells and expressed relative to the untreated control. In protoplasts with the integrated reporter gene, auxin treatment led to a 1.61-fold increase in reporter-gene activity, the expression of ARF5 Δ and ARF7 Δ led to a 1.13- and 1.17-fold increase, respectively (Figure 1C). In protoplasts co-transfected with the pEvTV_DR5 reporter-gene construct, auxin treatment led to a 5.63-fold increase in reporter-gene activity, while expression of ARF5 Δ and ARF7 Δ led to a 9.9- and 9.19-fold increase, respectively (Figure 1D). These results show that the use of the co-transfected reporter-gene construct significantly increased the reporter-gene sensitivity to auxin treatment as well as transiently expressed effectors.

In conclusion, we were able to achieve a more sensitive readout of reporter-gene activation in Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts co-transformed with a reporter-gene construct relative to an integrated reporter system. This allowed us to perform experiments using more easily obtained protoplasts (from seedling leaves, compared to seedling roots) that consisted of a more homogeneous cell-type population (mostly mesophyll cells, compared to the heterogeneous population make-up found in rootderived protoplasts). Hence, we were able to circumvent the issue of the auxin response, as measured by an integrated DR5::GFP reporter gene, being less sensitive to auxin treatment in leaf-derived protoplasts. For reference, 50 nM IAA treatment and ARF5 Δ expression resulted in a 2.1- and 1.7-fold change in Arabidopsis seedling root protoplasts with an integrated DR5::GFP reporter gene, respectively (Gonzalez et al., 2021). We ascribe this increased sensitivity to the availability of many more copies of the reporter gene per cell. This may also explain why we see a higher activation by the <u>ARF5</u> and <u>ARF7</u> effectors relative to the auxin treatment in the co-transfected cells, as there is less competition with native ARF proteins for promoter binding. It is possible that some increase in the signal we observed can be ascribed to the fact that Venus is a brighter and more rapidly maturing fluorophore than GFP. However, we would expect this effect to be consistent and proportional across auxin treatments and effector treatments, which is not the case. The nearly two-fold increase above auxin treatments of the ARF5A and ARF7A effectors with the transient pEvTV_DR5 reporter is in stark contrast with the integrated reporter for which the response to these effectors is barely above the GUS control. This strong and specific reporter response will facilitate future high-throughput functional studies of transcriptional effectors and their interactions with promoters.

Methods

Plant Growth

Arabidopsis seeds (wild-type Col-0 and DR5rev::GFP [ABRC stock CS9361 (Benková et al., 2003)]) were surface sterilized by 7 min incubation with 70% [v/v] ethanol, followed by 14 min incubation with 20% [v/v] household bleach, and one wash with sterile water. The seeds were densely plated on 10x10 cm square media plates (2.2 g/L Murashige and Skoog salts with vitamins, 1% [w/v] sucrose, 1% [w/v] agar, pH 5.7). The plates were incubated in a plant growth chamber [Conviron, Canada], oriented horizontally, at 21 °C with 18-hour photoperiod of 100 µmol*m⁻²*s⁻¹ PAR.

Plasmid Preparation

DNA plasmid purification for pBeaconRFP_GUS (Gonzalez et al., 2021) and pEvTV_DR5 (Lieberman-Lazarovich et al., 2019) was outsourced to GenScript Biotech, Inc. [USA]. Plasmid DNA for all other constructs were purified from Mach1 T1 *E. coli* grown in 50 mL Luria Broth with 50 µg/mL carbenicillin for 16 hours at 37 °C and extracted using the QIAGEN plasmid extraction midi kit [QIAGEN, USA].

Table 1: Plasmids

Plasmid	Description	
pBeaconRFP_GUS	Gateway vector (empty vector available via the VIB Vector Vault, Vector ID: 3_20) that encodes a 35S-driven mRFP protein and GUS to serve as a transformation control. GUS encodes for β -glucuronidase P05804 (UniProt).	
pBeaconRFP_ARF5∆	Gateway vector (empty vector available via the VIB Vector Vault, Vector ID: 3_20) that encodes a 35S-driven mRFP protein and ARF5 Δ . ARF5 Δ is derived from ARF5 (<u>AT1G19850</u> , TAIR10) and lacks the C-terminal Phox and Bem 1 (PB1) interaction domain, i.e., all amino acids beyond T794.	
pBeaconRFP_ARF7∆	Gateway vector (empty vector available via the VIB Vector Vault, Vector ID: 3_20) that encodes a 35S-driven mRFP and a truncated ARF7Δ. ARF7Δ is derived from ARF7 (<u>AT5G20730</u> , TAIR10) and lacks the C-terminal PB1 domain, i.e., all amino acids beyond residue T1039.	
pEvTV_DR5::Venus	pEvTV gateway vector carrying the DR5 promoter driving Venus. Sourced from Dr. Idan Efroni (Hebrew University of Jerusalem Israel).	



Protoplast Isolation, Transformation, and Treatment

Cotyledons and leaves of seven-day-old seedlings were harvested and placed into a 250 mL flask with 50 mL enzymolysis solution (1.25% [w/v] Cellulase R-10 [Yakult, Japan], 0.3% [w/v] Macerozyme R-10 [Yakult, Japan], 0.4 M mannitol, 20 mM MES, 20 mM KCl, 10 mM CaCl₂, 0.1% [w/v] bovine serum albumin; pH was adjusted to 5.7 with Tris-HCl pH 7.5) and shaken at 50 rpm for five hours. After five hours, the shaking was turned up to 100 rpm for an additional 20 minutes. The solution was then filtered through a 40 µm Falcon cell strainer (VWR, Radnor, PA, USA), divided over 15 mL conical tubes, and centrifuged for 5 min at 120 g with no braking. The cell pellets were resuspended in 3 mL enzymolysis buffer (no enzymes added) and then floated on top of a sucrose cushion (6 mL of 0.6 M sucrose, 2 mM MES, pH 5.7) in a 15 mL conical tube and centrifuged again for 7 mins to pellet out debris. The cell layer was extracted and washed in enzymolysis buffer, counted with a hemacytometer, and centrifuged again for 5 min in a 15 mL conical tube. Cells were washed once with transfection buffer (0.4 M mannitol, 15 mM MgCl₂ hexahydrate, 4 mM MES; pH was adjusted to 5.7 with KOH), centrifuged again, and resuspended in transfection buffer with a final density of 6×10^5 protoplasts*mL⁻¹. Conical 15 mL tubes were prepared for each transfection, each containing 250 µL of protoplasts (approximately 1.5 x 10⁵ cells) in transfection buffer and the appropriate plasmid DNA (Table 2). Protoplasts with the integrated DR5::GFP reporter were transfected with 37.5 µg of the pBeaconRFP_GUS vector or 25 μg pBeaconRFP_ARF5Δ or pBeaconRFP_ARF7Δ plus 12.5 μg of the pBeaconRFP_GUS vector (Gonzalez et al., 2021). In the case of co-transfections with the pEvTV DR5 vector, wild-type protoplasts were transfected with 25 μg of the pBeaconRFP_GUS, pBeaconRFP_ARF5Δ, or pBeaconRFP_ARF7Δ vector plus 12.5 μg of the pEvTV_DR5 vector. This ensures that the total amount of DNA used remained equal across all conditions (Table 2). In accordance with Gonzalez et al. (2021), 250 µL PEG solution (40% [w/v] PEG 1500, 0.2 M mannitol, 0.1 M CaCl₂) was added, and the suspension was mixed by flicking the tube repeatedly. Suspensions were incubated for 1 min, after which the protoplasts were washed with 15 mL enzymolysis buffer, centrifuged, and resuspended in 1 mL enzymolysis buffer. Protoplast suspensions were divided in 24-well plates (1 mL per well), treated with 100 nM indole-3-acetic acid (or mock treated), and incubated for 17 hours in the dark at 21 °C. Three independent transformations were performed for each construct combination and treatments were performed in triplicate.

Name	Integrated DR5::GFP Reporter	Wild-type Col-0
Control	37.5 μg pBeacon_RFP_GUS	25 μg pBeacon_RFP_GUS 12.5 μg pEvTV_DR5:Venus
Control + Auxin	37.5 μg pBeacon_RFP_GUS 100 nM IAA (treatment)	25 μg pBeacon_RFP_GUS 12.5 μg pEvTV_DR5:Venus 100 nM IAA (treatment)
ARF5Δ	25 μg pBeacon_RFP_ARF5Δ 12.5 μg pBeacon_RFP_GUS	25 μg pBeacon_RFP_ARF5Δ 12.5 μg pEvTV_DR5:Venus
ARF7Δ	25 μg pBeacon_RFP_ARF7Δ 12.5 μg pBeacon_RFP_GUS	25 μg pBeacon_RFP_ARF7Δ 12.5 μg pEvTV_DR5:Venus

Flow Cytometry

The GFP or Venus intensity in RFP-positive cells was quantified using a SH800S cell sorter (Sony Biotechnology Inc., USA) with forward-scatter and side-scatter, 488 nm excitation and 525/50 nm emission for GFP/Venus (green fluorescence), 561 nm excitation and 617/30 nm emission for RFP (red fluorescence). The population of live cells in a forward scatter-area vs. side scatter-area plot was identified by back-gating RFP-positive events from a green vs. red fluorescence plot on a forward scatter-area vs. side scatter-area plot and drawing a "live-cells" gate that encompassed 90% of the RFP-positive events in the forward scatter-area vs. side scatter-area plot. This "live-cells" gate was then used to distinguish live cells from debris in the forward scatter-area vs. side scatter-area plot and used to generate a green vs. red fluorescence plot enriched for live cells. Using this live-cells green vs. red fluorescence plot, RFP-positive cells were identified and GFP/Venus fluorescence intensity (arbitrary



units) was quantified solely in this population. Statistical significance was assessed by one-way ANOVA and subsequent Tukey post hoc testing to identify homogeneous subsets (p < 0.05, n = 3 biological replicates).

References

Bargmann BOR, Birnbaum KD. 2009. Positive Fluorescent Selection Permits Precise, Rapid, and In-Depth Overexpression Analysis in Plant Protoplasts . Plant Physiology 149: 1231-1239. DOI: <u>10.1104/pp.108.133975</u>

Beard KM, Boling AWH, Bargmann BOR. 2021. Protoplast isolation, transient transformation, and flow-cytometric analysis of reporter-gene activation in Cannabis sativa L.. Industrial Crops and Products 164: 113360. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.indcrop.2021.113360</u>

Benková E, Michniewicz M, Sauer M, Teichmann T, Seifertová D, Jürgens G, Friml J. 2003. Local, Efflux-Dependent Auxin Gradients as a Common Module for Plant Organ Formation. Cell 115: 591-602. DOI: <u>10.1016/S0092-8674(03)00924-3</u>

Gonzalez JH, Taylor JS, Reed KM, Wright RC, Bargmann BOR. 2021. Temporal Control of Morphogenic Factor Expression Determines Efficacy in Enhancing Regeneration. Plants 10: 2271. DOI: <u>10.3390/plants10112271</u>

Krogan NT, Ckurshumova W, Marcos D, Caragea AE, Berleth T. 2012. Deletion of *MP/ARF5* domains III and IV reveals a requirement for *Aux/IAA* regulation in *Arabidopsis* leaf vascular patterning. New Phytologist 194: 391-401. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04064.x

Lieberman-Lazarovich M, Yahav C, Israeli A, Efroni I. 2019. Deep conservation of cis-element variants regulating plant hormonal responses. The Plant Cell : tpc.00129.2019. DOI: <u>10.1105/tpc.19.00129</u>

Liu ZB, Ulmasov T, Shi X, Hagen G, Guilfoyle TJ. 1994. Soybean GH3 promoter contains multiple auxin-inducible elements.. The Plant Cell 6: 645-657. DOI: <u>10.1105/tpc.6.5.645</u>

Tiwari S, Wang S, Hagen G, Guilfoyle TJ. Undefined. Transfection Assays With Protoplasts Containing Integrated Reporter Genes. Arabidopsis Protocols : 237-244. DOI: <u>10.1385/1-59745-003-0:237</u>

Tiwari SB, Wang XJ, Hagen G, Guilfoyle TJ. 2001. AUX/IAA Proteins Are Active Repressors, and Their Stability and Activity Are Modulated by Auxin. The Plant Cell 13: 2809-2822. DOI: <u>10.1105/tpc.010289</u>

Ulmasov T, Hagen G, Guilfoyle TJ. 1997. ARF1, a Transcription Factor That Binds to Auxin Response Elements. Science 276: 1865-1868. DOI: <u>10.1126/science.276.5320.1865</u>

Ulmasov T, Murfett J, Hagen G, Guilfoyle TJ. 1997. Aux/IAA proteins repress expression of reporter genes containing natural and highly active synthetic auxin response elements.. The Plant Cell 9: 1963-1971. DOI: <u>10.1105/tpc.9.11.1963</u>

Wang S, Tiwari SB, Hagen G, Guilfoyle TJ. 2005. AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR7 Restores the Expression of Auxin-Responsive Genes in Mutant Arabidopsis Leaf Mesophyll Protoplasts. The Plant Cell 17: 1979-1993. DOI: <u>10.1105/tpc.105.031096</u>

Wu MF, Yamaguchi N, Xiao J, Bargmann B, Estelle M, Sang Y, Wagner D. 2015. Auxin-regulated chromatin switch directs acquisition of flower primordium founder fate. eLife 4: 10.7554/elife.09269. DOI: <u>10.7554/eLife.09269</u>

Yu H, Zhang Y, Moss BL, Bargmann BOR, Wang R, Prigge M, Nemhauser JL, Estelle M. 2015. Untethering the TIR1 auxin receptor from the SCF complex increases its stability and inhibits auxin response. Nature Plants 1: 10.1038/nplants.2014.30. DOI: <u>10.1038/nplants.2014.30</u>

Funding: Supported by U.S. National Science Foundation (United States) IOS 2343702 to Bastiaan Bargmann and Clay Wright.

Author Contributions: Joseph S. Taylor: conceptualization, formal analysis, investigation, writing - review editing. Eric A. Villaseñor: investigation, writing - original draft. James Rashkovsky: investigation, writing - review editing. Jaime Simson: writing - original draft. R. Clay Wright: writing - review editing, conceptualization. Bastiaan O. R. Bargmann: conceptualization, writing - review editing, supervision, funding acquisition.

Reviewed By: Anonymous

History: Received December 19, 2024 **Revision Received** February 21, 2025 **Accepted** February 25, 2025 **Published Online** February 28, 2025 **Indexed** March 14, 2025

Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.



Citation: Taylor JS, Villaseñor EA, Rashkovsky J, Simson J, Wright RC, Bargmann BOR. 2025. A Comparative Analysis of Transfected and Integrated Auxin Reporter Systems Reveals Sensitivity Advantages in Protoplast Transient Expression Assays. microPublication Biology. <u>10.17912/micropub.biology.001481</u>