# Cell type-specific regulation by different cytokinetic pathways in the early embryo

Caroline Q. Connors<sup>1</sup>, Sophia L. Martin<sup>1</sup>, Julien Dumont<sup>2</sup>, Mimi Shirasu-Hiza<sup>3</sup>, Julie C. Canman<sup>1§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pathology and Cell Biology, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, New York, United States <sup>2</sup>Université Paris Cité, CNRS, Institut Jacques Monod, 75013 Paris, France

<sup>3</sup>Department of Genetics and Development, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, New York, United States <sup>§</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed: jcc2210@cumc.columbia.edu

## Abstract

Cytokinesis, the physical division of one cell into two, is typically assumed to use the same molecular process across animal cells. However, regulation of cell division can vary significantly among different cell types, even within the same multicellular organism. Using six fast-acting temperature-sensitive (ts) cytokinesis-defective mutants, we found that each had unique cell type-specific profiles in the early 2-cell through 8-cell <u>*C. elegans*</u> embryo. Certain cell types were more sensitive than others to actomyosin and spindle signaling disruptions, disrupting two members of the same complex could result in different phenotypes, and protection against actomyosin inhibition did not always protect against spindle signaling inhibition.



Figure 1. Cytokinesis outcomes in the early *C. elegans* embryo in different temperature sensitive mutants:

**A)** Schematic depicting the early *C. elegans* embryonic lineages from the 1-cell to 8-cell stage. **B)** Temperature-sensitive (ts) mutant alleles, protein functions, and complexes affected; F-actin=filamentous actin; GAP=GTPase activating protein. **C)** 



Representative maximum intensity projection image of clustered embryos scored for cytokinesis completion or failure. Scale bar=20 µm; green arrowheads=cytokinesis completes; grey arrowheads=cytokinesis fails. **D)** Graphs showing the percentage of each cell type that completes (green) or fails (gray) in cytokinesis after upshift to restrictive temperature by column in control (no ts mutations), *myosin-II<sup>nmy-2</sup>(ts)* and *formin<sup>Cyk-1</sup>(ts)* (actomyosin contractile ring), *cyk-4(ts)* and *MKLP1<sup>zen-4</sup>(ts)* (Centralspindlin complex), and *Aurora-B<sup>air-2</sup>(ts)* and *INCENP<sup>icp-1</sup>(ts)* (Chromosomal Passenger Complex (CPC)) mutant 2-cell (top rows), 4-cell (middle rows), and 8-cell (bottom rows) embryos. n=number individual cells scored per cell type and genotype (by color) indicated to the right of each bar.

## Description

Cytokinesis, the physical division of one cell into two daughter cells, is critical for multicellular organismal development and tissue homeostasis. On one hand, defects in cytokinesis can lead to diseases such as cancer (Lacroix & Maddox, 2012; Lens & Medema, 2019). On the other hand, in some cell types (*e.g.*, cardiomyocytes, hepatocytes, etc.), cytokinesis is naturally programmed to fail, leading to binucleation and polyploidy (Lacroix & Maddox, 2012). In animal cells, cytokinesis is driven by constriction of an actomyosin contractile ring at the cell division plane, positioned by signaling from spindle microtubules (for review see (D'Avino et al., 2015; Green et al., 2012)), chromosomes (Beaudet et al., 2017; Canman et al., 2003; Kiyomitsu & Cheeseman, 2013), and cell polarity (Cabernard et al., 2010; Jordan et al., 2016). The mechanisms that underlie cell type-specific regulation of cytokinesis are not well understood.

Here we compared the cell type-specific regulation of different cytokinesis pathways in the same model system: the <u>*C. elegans*</u> embryo. The <u>*C. elegans*</u> early lineage map is well characterized and invariant from embryo to embryo, making each cell easy to identify (**Fig. 1A**) (Sulston et al., 1983). Asymmetric cleavage divisions and cell fate signaling lead to differentiation and cell type-specific fate specification as early as the 2-cell stage. Thus, the early worm embryo serves as a simple multicellular system to study cell type-specific responses to genetic perturbations in cytokinesis in the same organism.

To specifically disrupt cytokinetic protein function in individual cells during early development, we turned to our collection of fast-acting ( $\leq$ 20 sec) temperature-sensitive (ts) cytokinesis-defective mutants. We used six ts mutants that affect three different cytokinesis complexes (Davies et al., 2014): the actomyosin contractile ring (*myosin-II*<sup>nmy-2</sup>(*ts*) and diaphanous *formin*<sup>*cyk-*</sup>/<sub>1</sub>(*ts*)); the centralspindlin complex (*MKLP1*<sup>*zen-4*</sup>(*ts*) and *cyk-4*(*ts*)); and the Chromosomal Passenger Complex (CPC) (*INCENP*<sup>*icp-1*</sup>(*ts*) and *Aurora-B*<sup>*air-2*</sup>(*ts*)) (**Fig. 1B**) (Canman et al., 2008; Davies et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2010; Severson et al., 2000). These conditional mutations allow cell division to occur at permissive temperature, but completely block cytokinesis in the 1-cell embryo when upshifted to restrictive temperature (Davies et al., 2014).

To test for cell type-specific responses to acute cytokinesis complex disruption in <u>C. elegans</u>, we imaged clustered asynchronous control and ts mutant embryos before and after upshifting to restrictive temperature (Fig. 1C). To control temperature, we used the Therminator, a fluidic device that allows rapid (<17 sec) upshift from permissive (16°C) to restrictive temperature (26°C) while simultaneously imaging using a spinning disc confocal microscope (Davies et al., 2014; Davies et al., 2017). We examined cytokinesis success or failure in each cell in 2-cell through 8-cell stage embryos (14 cells total per genotype) after upshift to restrictive temperature if the upshift occurred before anaphase onset (actomyosin and centralspindlin mutants, controls) or nuclear envelope breakdown (CPC mutants) (Davies et al., 2014) in that cell (Fig. 1D). As expected, in control 2- through 8-cell embryos, all cells completed cytokinesis successfully upon temperature upshift and, in *myosin-II*<sup><u>mmy-</u></sup>  $\frac{2}{(ts)}$  embryos, almost all cells failed in cytokinesis (**Fig. 1D**). In all other ts mutants, we observed cell type differences starting at the 2-cell or 4-cell stage (**Fig. 1D**). In *formin<sup>Cyk-1</sup>(ts*) 2-cell embryos, both cells failed in cytokinesis, but in 4-cell embryos, dramatic cell type-specific differences in the outcome of cytokinesis were observed: ABa and ABp failed in cytokinesis but EMS and P2 frequently completed cytokinesis, as described (Connors et al., 2024; Davies et al., 2018). In formin<sup>cyk-1</sup>(ts) 8cell embryos, most cells completed cytokinesis >80% of the time (except for ABpl and ABpr; 21% and 55% completed cytokinesis, respectively). In centralspindlin and CPC mutant 2-cell embryos and 4-cell embryos, a similar pattern of cytokinesis failure or completion were observed in each cell type (Fig. 1D): in 2-cell embryos, the P1 cell completed cytokinesis at a higher rate than the AB cell (except in CPC Aurora-Bair-2(ts) embryos), and in 4-cell embryos, the P2 cell frequently (42-98%) completed cytokinesis whereas ABa, ABp, and EMS only completed cytokinesis 0-34% of the time. In 8cell embryos, there were cell type-specific differences in cytokinesis for all centralspindlin and CPC mutants, even for mutations that affect the same protein complex (Fig. 1D). For example, in centralspindlin cyk-4(ts) embryos at the 8-cell stage, most cells completed cytokinesis, except for ABpl and ABpr; in contrast, in centralspindlin *MKLP1<sup>zen-4</sup>(ts)* 8-cell embryos, only MS, E, and C were able to complete cytokinesis at any frequency (Fig. 1D). Additionally, in CPC Aurora-B<sup>air-2</sup>(ts) 8-cell embryos, no anterior cell types (ABal, ABar, ABpl, ABpr) were able to complete cytokinesis while all posterior cell types

(MS, E, C, P3) completed cytokinesis 20-56% of the time (**Fig. 1D**). In contrast, in CPC *INCENP*<sup>icp-1</sup>(*ts*) 8-cell embryos, cells in the anterior (ABal, ABar, ABpl, ABpr) were able to complete cytokinesis 20-31% of the time, while cells in the posterior (MS, E, C, P3) completed cytokinesis a striking 91-100% of the time, (**Fig. 1D**). Thus, cell type-specific regulation of cytokinesis differs after disruption of different protein complexes/activities and can be widely observed in the early worm embryo.

We were initially surprised that the pattern of cell type-specific cytokinesis success versus failure was so different between cytokinesis-defective mutants that affect the same complex. Yet each mutant differentially affects protein function and complex activity. The formin<sup>CYK-1</sup> ts mutation affects the dimerization domain and greatly compromises F-actin nucleation activity (Davies et al., 2014); our previous results suggest that cell fate determinants regulate cell type-specific responses to formin<sup>CYK-1</sup> inactivation (Connors et al., 2024; Davies et al., 2018). The myosin-II<sup>NMY-2</sup> ts mutation is in the neck region (Liu et al., 2010), which likely decouples and inactivates myosin motor domains. Our upshifts often occurred several minutes before anaphase onset when myosin-II<sup>NMY-2</sup> also plays an essential role in cell polarity/asymmetric cell division and cell fate specification/cell identity (Guo & Kemphues, 1996; Liu et al., 2010; Munro et al., 2004). Thus, this mutant will reduce the differences between cell types and affect cytokinesis in all cells equally, as was observed (Fig. 1D). The centralspindlin complex mutants also have dramatically different effects on complex activity. While the MKLP1<sup>ZEN-4</sup> ts mutation completely blocks centralspindlin complex formation and central spindle microtubule bundling (Pavicic-Kaltenbrunner et al., 2007; Severson et al., 2000), the <u>CYK-4</u> ts mutation only affects the Rac GAP (GTPase-activating protein) domain (Canman et al., 2008). Similarly, while the Aurora-BAIR-2 ts mutation disrupts the kinase domain and thus catalytic activity of the CPC (Bishop & Schumacher, 2002), the INCENP<sup>ICP-1</sup> ts mutation reduces but does not totally block Aurora-B<sup>AIR-2</sup> kinase activity (Davies et al., 2014). Thus, it seems logical that cytokinesis is more frequently successful in the less disruptive mutant condition for each complex.

We also observed cases of "inherited" resistance to disruption of cytokinesis proteins from mother cells to daughter cells, as well as *de novo* resistance in daughter cells born from mother cells that typically would have failed in cytokinesis upon protein inactivation. In general, most cells in the 8-cell embryo completed cytokinesis successfully after cytokinesis protein inactivation more often than their mother cells in the 4-cell embryo, independent of genotype. As an extreme example, in *cyk*-4(ts) 4-cell embryos, while the ABa cell almost always failed in cytokinesis, both of its 8-cell stage daughter cells, ABal and ABar, completed cytokinesis at a high frequency (67% and 50% cytokinesis completion, respectively) (**Fig. 1D**). Because cells get smaller with each cleavage division, this *de novo* resistance could be explained by an "action at a distance" model, wherein spindle signaling molecules diffuse to the cell cortex (Canman, 2009; von Dassow et al., 2009); assuming the smaller cell volume would facilitate diffusion. In contrast, we noted specific cells in specific genotypes that divided *less* frequently than their mother cells. For example, in *MKLP1<sup>zen-4</sup>(ts)* 4-cell embryos, the P2 cell successfully divided 44% of the time but this resistance was only inherited by one daughter cell and not the other (38% and 4% cytokinesis completion in C and P3 daughter cells, respectively) (**Fig. 1D**). Future experiments will determine if similar or different mechanisms drive cell type-specific regulation of cytokinesis in different lineages and how cell fate specification, cell size, and the cellular niche regulates the cytokinesis machinery in the context of a multicellular organism.

## Methods

#### Worm husbandry

Worm strains were grown on 60 mm petri plates (T3308, Tritech) filled with (PourBoy 4, Tritech) 10.5 mL nematode growth media (NGM: 23 g Nematode Growth Medium (Legacy Biologicals), 1 mL 1M CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mL 1M MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 25 mL 1M K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 975 mL ddH<sub>2</sub>O) seeded with 500 µL *E. coli* (<u>OP50</u>), as in (Brenner, 1974). Strains were maintained at 16°C in incubators (Binder). We note that wormbase.org was used as a resource throughout this work (Sternberg et al., 2024).

#### Embryo preparation for imaging

On imaging days, gravid young adult hermaphrodites were maintained in an incubator at 13-14°C (2720213W, Wine Enthusiast) and dissected on a stereo microscope (Olympus SZX16 with SDF PLAPO 1XPF objective) in cooled (13-14°C) M9 buffer in a watch glass (742300, Carolina Biologicals). A hand-pulled glass pipette (VWR Pasteur Pipette) or a borosilicate glass capillary (World Precision Instruments) was used as a mouth pipette to transfer embryos onto a thin 2% agar pad placed on top of the Therminator specimen holder (Davies et al., 2014; Davies et al., 2017). Asynchronous embryos were clustered using a single hair tool (Ted Pella). A 30 mm round No. 1.5 glass coverslip (Bioptechs) was mounted on top of the embryos for imaging.

Time-lapse live cell imaging

Time lapse live cell imaging was done on an inverted microscope stand (Nikon, Eclipse Ti) with a spinning disc confocal head (CSU-10, Yokogawa; Borealis upgrade (Spectral Applied Research)), a CCD camera with 2 x 2 binning (Orca-R2, Hamamatsu), a Piezo-motorized stage (ASI) for Z-sectioning, and either a 20x Plan Apo 0.75 N.A. dry objective (Nikon) or a 40x Plan Apo Lambda 0.95 N.A. dry objective (Nikon). An acousto-optic tunable filter (Spectral Applied Research) was used to control excitation laser light (150 mW 488 nm (GFP) and 561 nm (mCherry); <u>ILE-2</u>, Spectral Applied Research) and a filter wheel (Sutter) was used for 525/50 nm or 620/60 nm emission filter (Chroma) selection. Focus was maintained (Nikon, Perfect Focus) before each timepoint: 12 x 2 or 11 x 2 µm Z-sections every 60 or 90 seconds; 100 ms and 100-150 ms exposures for GFP and mCherry channels, respectively.

### Temperature control for live cell imaging

Live cell imaging was performed in an imaging room with a mini-split heat pump to control temperature (MHWX, MultiAqua). Room temperature (19-23°C) was continuously monitored with 4-5 digital thermometers and a Bluetooth sensor (SensorPush) on the microscope stage. The Therminator was used as described previously (Davies et al., 2014; Davies et al., 2017). Briefly, one water/isopropanol bath was set to permissive temperature (16°C) and the second bath was set to restrictive temperature (26°C). 2-cell through 8-cell embryos were maintained on the specimen holder at 16°C until the desired time at which point the Therminator was switched to use the 26°C water bath and rapidly upshift sample temperature to restrictive temperature (25.5-27.3°C) prior to anaphase onset (control, *myosin-II*<sup>nmy-2</sup>(ts), formin<sup>Cyk-1</sup>(ts), MKLP1<sup>zen-4</sup>(ts), and <u>cyk-4</u>(ts) embryos) or nuclear envelope breakdown (*INCENP*<sup>icp-1</sup>(ts) and *Aurora-B*<sup>air-2</sup>(ts) embryos) in each cell.

## Cytokinesis outcome analysis

FIJI (FIJI is Just ImageJ) software (Schindelin et al., 2012) was used for all data analysis. Cytokinesis outcomes were scored manually on maximum projection images of both channels (GFP::PH<sup>pLCδ</sup> and mCherry::histone H2B<sup>HIS-58</sup>) as in (Connors et al., 2024). Individual cells were scored only if upshift to restrictive temperature occurred at a time before anaphase onset (or nuclear envelope breakdown ( $\geq$ 450 seconds prior to anaphase onset) in *INCENP*<sup>*i*CP-1</sup>(*ts*) and *Aurora-B*<sup>*air-2*</sup>(*ts*) embryos) in that cell and at least one of its daughter cells entered anaphase before the end of the image series.

## Acknowledgements:

We thank all members of the Canman, Dumont, and Shirasu-Hiza labs for helpful discussions and support. We thank Sophia Tony-Egbuniwe, Eva Sophia Blake, Adriana Hernandez, and Michelle (Mimi) Schmidt for making worm plates and other critical lab reagents. We are grateful to Karen Oegema, Craig Mello, Bruce Bowerman, and the CGC (funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440)) for providing worm strains.

## **Extended Data**

Description: Worm strains used in this manuscript. Resource Type: Collection. File: <u>Table S1 worm strains 100724.xlsx</u>. DOI: <u>10.22002/m7wst-v6n54</u>

## References

Beaudet D, Akhshi T, Phillipp J, Law C, Piekny A. 2017. Active Ran regulates anillin function during cytokinesis. Mol Biol Cell 28(24): 3517-3531. PubMed ID: <u>28931593</u>

Bishop JD, Schumacher JM. 2002. Phosphorylation of the carboxyl terminus of inner centromere protein (INCENP) by the Aurora B Kinase stimulates Aurora B kinase activity. J Biol Chem 277(31): 27577-80. PubMed ID: <u>12048181</u>

Brenner S. 1974. The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 77(1): 71-94. PubMed ID: <u>4366476</u>

Cabernard C, Prehoda KE, Doe CQ. 2010. A spindle-independent cleavage furrow positioning pathway. Nature 467(7311): 91-4. PubMed ID: <u>20811457</u>

Canman JC. 2009. Cytokinetic astralogy. J Cell Biol 187(6): 757-9. PubMed ID: 20008561

Canman JC, Cameron LA, Maddox PS, Straight A, Tirnauer JS, Mitchison TJ, et al., Salmon ED. 2003. Determining the position of the cell division plane. Nature 424(6952): 1074-8. PubMed ID: <u>12904818</u>

Canman JC, Lewellyn L, Laband K, Smerdon SJ, Desai A, Bowerman B, Oegema K. 2008. Inhibition of Rac by the GAP activity of centralspindlin is essential for cytokinesis. Science 322(5907): 1543-6. PubMed ID: <u>19056985</u>

Connors CQ, Mauro MS, Wiles JT, Countryman AD, Martin SL, Lacroix B, et al., Canman JC. 2024. Germ fate determinants protect germ precursor cell division by reducing septin and anillin levels at the cell division plane. Mol Biol Cell 35(7): ar94. PubMed ID: <u>38696255</u>

D'Avino PP, Giansanti MG, Petronczki M. 2015. Cytokinesis in Animal Cells. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology 7: a015834. PubMed ID: <u>25680833</u>

Davies T, Jordan SN, Chand V, Sees JA, Laband K, Carvalho AX, et al., Canman JC. 2014. High-resolution temporal analysis reveals a functional timeline for the molecular regulation of cytokinesis. Dev Cell 30(2): 209-23. PubMed ID: <u>25073157</u>

Davies T, Kim HX, Romano Spica N, Lesea-Pringle BJ, Dumont J, Shirasu-Hiza M, Canman JC. 2018. Cell-intrinsic and - extrinsic mechanisms promote cell-type-specific cytokinetic diversity. Elife 7. PubMed ID: <u>30028292</u>

Davies T, Sundaramoorthy S, Jordan SN, Shirasu-Hiza M, Dumont J, Canman JC. 2017. Using fast-acting temperaturesensitive mutants to study cell division in Caenorhabditis elegans. Methods Cell Biol 137: 283-306. PubMed ID: <u>28065312</u>

Green RA, Paluch E, Oegema K. 2012. Cytokinesis in animal cells. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 28: 29-58. PubMed ID: 22804577

Guo S, Kemphues KJ. 1996. A non-muscle myosin required for embryonic polarity in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature 382(6590): 455-8. PubMed ID: <u>8684486</u>

Jordan SN, Davies T, Zhuravlev Y, Dumont J, Shirasu-Hiza M, Canman JC. 2016. Cortical PAR polarity proteins promote robust cytokinesis during asymmetric cell division. Journal of Cell Biology 212: 39-49. PubMed ID: <u>26728855</u>

Kiyomitsu T, Cheeseman IM. 2013. Cortical Dynein and Asymmetric Membrane Elongation Coordinately Position the Spindle in Anaphase. Cell 154: 391-402. PubMed ID: <u>23870127</u>

Lacroix B, Maddox AS. 2011. Cytokinesis, ploidy and aneuploidy. The Journal of Pathology 226: 338-351. PubMed ID: <u>21984283</u>

Lens SMA, Medema RH. 2018. Cytokinesis defects and cancer. Nature Reviews Cancer 19: 32-45. PubMed ID: <u>30523339</u>

Liu J, Maduzia LL, Shirayama M, Mello CC. 2010. NMY-2 maintains cellular asymmetry and cell boundaries, and promotes a SRC-dependent asymmetric cell division. Developmental Biology 339: 366-373. PubMed ID: <u>20059995</u>

Munro E, Nance J, Priess JR. 2004. Cortical flows powered by asymmetrical contraction transport PAR proteins to establish and maintain anterior-posterior polarity in the early C. elegans embryo. Dev Cell 7(3): 413-24. PubMed ID: <u>15363415</u>

Pavicic-Kaltenbrunner V, Mishima M, Glotzer M. 2007. Cooperative assembly of CYK-4/MgcRacGAP and ZEN-4/MKLP1 to form the centralspindlin complex. Mol Biol Cell 18(12): 4992-5003. PubMed ID: <u>17942600</u>

Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, et al., Cardona A. 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods 9(7): 676-82. PubMed ID: <u>22743772</u>

Severson AF, Hamill DR, Carter JC, Schumacher J, Bowerman B. 2000. The aurora-related kinase AIR-2 recruits ZEN-4/CeMKLP1 to the mitotic spindle at metaphase and is required for cytokinesis. Curr Biol 10(19): 1162-71. PubMed ID: 11050384

Sternberg PW, Van Auken K, Wang Q, Wright A, Yook K, Zarowiecki M, et al., Stein L. 2024. WormBase 2024: status and transitioning to Alliance infrastructure. Genetics 227(1). PubMed ID: <u>38573366</u>

Sulston JE, Schierenberg E, White JG, Thomson JN. 1983. The embryonic cell lineage of the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. Dev Biol 100(1): 64-119. PubMed ID: <u>6684600</u>

von Dassow G, Verbrugghe KJ, Miller AL, Sider JR, Bement WM. 2009. Action at a distance during cytokinesis. J Cell Biol 187(6): 831-45. PubMed ID: <u>20008563</u>

### Funding:

This work was funded by: NIH R01GM117407 (JCC), European Research Council CoG ChromoSOMe N°819179 (JD), NIH R01AG045842 (MSH), and NIH R35GM127049 (MSH). The authors declare no competing financial interests.

**Author Contributions:** Caroline Q. Connors: data curation, formal analysis, investigation, writing - original draft, writing - review editing. Sophia L. Martin: investigation, data curation, writing - review editing. Julien Dumont: writing - review editing, conceptualization. Mimi Shirasu-Hiza: conceptualization, writing - review editing. Julie C. Canman: conceptualization, project administration, writing - original draft, writing - review editing, supervision, funding acquisition, data curation.

#### Reviewed By: Anonymous

Nomenclature Validated By: Anonymous



#### WormBase Paper ID: WBPaper00067359

History: Received August 7, 2024 Revision Received October 7, 2024 Accepted October 15, 2024 Published Online October 22, 2024 Indexed November 5, 2024

**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Citation:** Connors, CQ; Martin, SL; Dumont, J; Shirasu-Hiza, M; Canman, JC (2024). Cell type-specific regulation by different cytokinetic pathways in the early embryo. microPublication Biology. <u>10.17912/micropub.biology.001316</u>