

A Comparative Study of Life History Traits in C. briggsae and C. elegans

Nikita Jhaveri^{1,2}, Harvir Bhullar¹, Bhagwati Gupta^{1§}

¹Biology, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

²Biology, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, United States

[§]To whom correspondence should be addressed: bgupta.bio@gmail.com

Abstract

The nematodes <u>*C. elegans*</u> and <u>*C. briggsae*</u> are key models for genetic studies. Despite their overall similar morphology, these two species exhibit notable differences. We used the isolates from tropical (<u>AF16</u> and <u>QX1410</u>) and temperate (<u>HK104</u> and <u>VX34</u>) regions to characterize the life history traits of <u>*C. briggsae*</u>. Our findings reveal significant variations in body dimensions, movement patterns, utse morphology, and lipid contents across isolates, highlighting species-level distinctions that further establish <u>*C. briggsae*</u> as a valuable comparative model for genetic research.



Figure 1. Characterization of various traits in *C. briggsae* and *C. elegans* wild isolates:

Strains are abbreviated as N (N2), C (CB4856), A (AF16), H (HK104), Q (QX1410), and V (VX34). **A.** Length-to-width ratios of day-1 adult hermaphrodites. Overall, N2 has the smallest ratio and VX34 the largest. Within *C. briggsae*, AF16 has the smallest ratio. The numbers of animals and other details are provided in Table 1. **B, C.** Movement analysis of day-1 adult hermaphrodites. *C. briggsae* strains have amplitudes comparable to *C. elegans*, however the amplitude per unit length shows some differences. n = 8-10 worms for each strain in three or more batches. **D, E.** utse thickness in hermaphrodites at the L4 larval stage. *C. briggsae* isolates have thicker utse than *C. elegans*. n = 8 - 12 worms for each strain, combined from two-three batches. Scale bar 5 mm. **F.** Opacity (measured as pixel brightness) of different isolates, measured in triplicates in day-1 adult hermaphrodites. n = 20 to 30 worms for each strain. **G.** Oil Red O staining of day-1 adult hermaphrodites, done in triplicates with n = 20 to 30 worms for each strain. **H.** Opacity of N2, *daf-2(e1370)* and *pry-1(gk3682)* day-1 adult hermaphrodites. Mutants are darker than N2. n = 17 to 27 animals in a total of 3 batches for each strain. The units are arbitrary (a.u.) in panels F-H. In all graphs, data are shown as mean ± SD. Statistical analyses were performed using one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test for interspecies comparisons in panels A-D, F, G. Student's unpaired *t*-test was used for intraspecies comparison in panels A-D, F, G, and also to compare the mutants to N2 in panel H. Statistically significant values are indicated by star (*): * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; p < 0.001; **** p < 0.0001; ns, not significant.



Description

To enhance the utility of *C. briggsae* as a genetic model, we characterized its life history traits using two tropical strains (AF16 and QX1410) and two temperate strains (HK104 and VX34). Initial measurements indicated significant variations in body length among *C. briggsae* isolates, with VX34 being the longest and HK104 the shortest. Additionally, *C. briggsae* isolates are generally more slender than *C. elegans* N2, but not CB4856 (Table 1). To further examine differences in the sizes of isolates, we determined length-to-width ratios of hermaphrodites and found that all *C. briggsae* isolates ranged from 17.1 to 19.7 with VX34 showing the highest ratio (Figure 1A). Notably, N2 and AF16 exhibited the smallest length-to-width ratios. Additionally, for some of the strains (N2, AF16, and HK104), we examined adult males and observed differences in length and width (Table 1). Overall, these data show that *C. briggsae* and *C. elegans* isolates have considerable variation and suggest significant dimensional diversity across isolates and between species. This variability in size aligns with observations in other nematodes, such as *C. inopinata* and various *Rhabditida* species (Flemming et al., 2000; Hammerschmith et al., 2022). While the basis for size variations in *C. briggsae* isolates remains to be investigated, studies in other nematodes, including *C. elegans*, have reported the involvement of genetic and environmental factors (Gumienny and Savage-Dunn, 2013; Kammenga et al., 2007; Maulana et al., 2022; Nyaanga and Andersen, 2022; Van Voorhies, 1996), with specific genes such as the *sma* (*small*) class and *tra-3*/*Calpain* 5 playing crucial roles (Gumienny and Savage-Dunn, 2013; Kammenga et al., 2007).

The next phenotype that we assessed was the sinusoidal movement patterns. Our preliminary observations suggested differences between N2 and AF16, so we quantified movement tracks on bacterial lawns in two isolates of each species. The results revealed that while the amplitudes of different isolates are comparable (Figure 1B), the amplitude per unit length showed some differences with N2 having the lowest value (Figure 1C).

Previous studies noted that the vulva-uterine connection (<u>uterine-sea</u> cell, utse) in <u>AF16</u> was thicker than in <u>N2</u> (Gupta and Sternberg, 2003). We found that other <u>*C. briggsae*</u> isolates exhibit a similar phenotype, with the utse being approximately 50% thicker than both <u>N2</u> and <u>CB4856</u> animals (Figure 1D, E). In spite of this difference, there was no obvious impact on egg-laying frequency and brood size. Further experiments are needed to determine whether this trait affects egg-laying behavior in the two species.

Among other characteristics, it was noted that <u>AF16</u> adults are lighter in color than <u>N2</u>. To follow up on this observation, we measured the transparency of adult hermaphrodites and found that <u>*C. briggsae*</u> strains are generally more transparent than <u>*C. elegans*</u>, with variability across isolates (Figure 1F). To determine if fat levels were affecting the body color, we carried out Oil Red O staining, which has shown to be a true representation of stored fat content, and positively correlates with the levels of triglycerides (Yen et al., 2010). The results revealed comparatively lower lipid levels in some <u>*C. briggsae*</u> isolates but the pattern was inconsistent (Figure 1G). Interestingly, mutations known to affect lipid content in <u>*C. elegans*</u> (high in <u>daf-2</u> mutants and low in <u>*pry-1*</u> mutants) (O'Rourke et al., 2009; Ranawade et al., 2018) also resulted in increased opacity (Figure 1H). The results lead us to conclude that while lipids may affect opacity, other factors also contribute to differences in body color.

The above results broaden our understanding of <u>*C. briggsae*</u> as a genetic model and its distinguishing features from <u>*C. elegans*</u>. The results add to the existing body of work documenting differences between the two species that include excretory duct placement (Wang and Chamberlin, 2002), arrangements of bursal rays in the male tail (Fitch, 1997; Fitch and Emmons, 1995), P3.p vulval precursor competence (Delattre and Felix, 2001), systemic RNAi (Winston et al., 2007), resistance to viral infections (Felix et al., 2011; Franz et al., 2012; Frezal et al., 2019), electrotaxis (Rezai et al., 2011), and dauer formation (Inoue et al., 2007). Collectively, these findings contribute to ongoing comparative studies and underscore the importance of species-specific traits in genetic and developmental research.

Table 1. Measurements of one-day-old adult animals. Values are shown as mean +/- SD (Standard deviation). N, Number of animals examined. Statistical analysis was carried out using one-way ANOVA using Dunnett's multiple comparisons test. In the case of <u>AF16</u> and <u>HK104</u> males, length and width were analyzed using Student's *t*-test. The *p* value columns show statistical comparisons. Isolates used for pair-wise comparison are in brackets where 'h' denotes hermaphrodites and 'm' denotes males. Significant values are indicated by stars: * *p* < 0.05; ** *p* < 0.01; *p* < 0.001; **** *p* < 0.0001. ns, not significant.

Strain	Length (µm)		Width (µm)		Length-to-width ratio		N
	Mean +/- SD	<i>p</i> value	Mean +/- SD	<i>p</i> value	Mean +/- SD	<i>p</i> value	



<u>N2</u> hermaphrodit es	1051.3 +/- 81.4	-	61.5 +/- 2.6	-	17.1+/-1.5	-	18
<u>CB4856</u> hermaphrodit es	1002.6 +/- 31.4	ns (<u>N2</u> h)	52.7 +/- 3.8	**** (<u>N2</u> h)	19.1+/- 1.0	** (<u>N2</u> h)	15
<u>AF16</u> hermaphrodit es	961.4 +/- 21.4	** (<u>N2</u> h)	55.2 +/- 1.4	* (<u>N2</u> h)	17.4+/-0.4	ns (<u>N2</u> h)	10
<u>HK104</u> hermaphrodit es	934.6 +/- 47.6	*** (<u>N2</u> h), ns (<u>AF16</u> h)	52.7 +/- 3.6	**** (<u>N2</u> h), ns (<u>AF16</u> h)	17.8+/-1.2	ns (<u>N2</u> h) ns (<u>AF16</u> h)	10
<u>VX34</u> hermaphrodit es	1076.0 +/- 93.3	ns (<u>N2</u> h), ** (<u>AF16</u> h)	54.6 +/- 2.2	** (<u>N2</u> h), ns (<u>AF16</u> h)	20.0+/- 1.9	**** (<u>N2</u> h), *** (<u>AF16</u> h)	13
<u>QX1410</u> hermaphrodit es	1023.0 +/- 94.2	ns (<u>N2</u> h), ns (<u>AF16</u> h)	55.7 +/- 3.6	* (<u>N2</u> h), ns (<u>AF16</u> h)	18.4 +/- 1.3	ns (<u>N2</u> h), ns (<u>AF16</u> h)	16
<u>N2</u> males	968.2 +/- 20.3	-	49.9 +/- 3.0	-	-	-	10
<u>AF16</u> males	795.7 +/- 40.6	**** (<u>N2</u> m)	38.5 +/- 2.6	**** (<u>N2</u> m)	-	-	10
<u>HK104</u> males	842.2 +/- 43.9	**** (<u>N2</u> m), * (<u>AF16</u> m)	41.2 +/- 1.9	**** (<u>N2</u> m), * (<u>AF16</u> m)	-	-	10

Methods

Worms were cultured on NG-Agar plates using standard methods (Brenner, 1974). Cultures were maintained at 20°C, which is an optimum temperature for growth, fecundity, and other characteristics of <u>*C. elegans*</u> and <u>*C. briggsae*</u>. Plates were seeded with *E. coli* <u>OP50</u> as the bacterial food source (Stiernagle, 2006). For Nomarski differential interference contrast (DIC) imaging, live animals were anesthetized with 1 mM sodium azide and mounted on 5% agar pads on glass slides. The slides were examined using Nikon Eclipse 80i and Zeiss Apotome microscopes. Images were captured using Nikon and Zeiss Zen 3.0 software. For each assay, multiple biological replicates of isolates were processed on different days.

Day-1 adult hermaphrodites were measured using Zeiss Zen 3.0 software attached to a Zeiss Nomarski microscope. L4-staged worms were picked 24 hours prior to analysis and incubated overnight at 20°C on OP50-seeded plates. Measurements of body length and width were performed on young adult hermaphrodites the following morning.

To quantify the amplitude of sinusoidal movement, individual worms were allowed to move freely on NG-Agar plates seeded with an overnight-grown <u>OP50</u> bacterial lawn. The distance between the peak and trough of the sine wave produced by the worm's movement was measured. The amplitude was calculated as half of this distance. At least one sine wave per worm was analyzed. Additional details on sample sizes are provided in the figure legend.

utse thickness was measured in L4 larvae of hermaphrodites, with the width determined at the center of the hymen region. Opacity (optical density) was measured in day-1 adult hermaphrodites using Nomarski microscopy on anesthetized animals. Lipid content was quantified following fixation and Oil Red O staining of day-1 adults, according to a protocol published



earlier (Ranawade et al., 2018). ImageJ (<u>https://imagej.net/</u>) software was used for image analysis. Worm outlines were traced, and pixel intensities and areas were measured to assess opacity and lipid levels.

Reagents

Strain	Genotype	Source
<u>N2</u>	Wild-type <u>C. elegans</u>	Caenorhabditis Genetics Center
<u>CB4856</u>	Wild-type <u>C. elegans</u>	Sternberg lab
<u>CB1370</u>	<u>daf-2(e1370</u>)	Caenorhabditis Genetics Center
<u>VC3710</u>	<u>pry-1(gk3682</u>)	Gupta lab
<u>AF16</u>	Wild-type <u>C. briggsae</u>	Caenorhabditis Genetics Center
<u>HK104</u>	Wild-type <u>C. briggsae</u>	Caenorhabditis Genetics Center
<u>VX34</u>	Wild-type <u>C. briggsae</u>	Andersen lab
<u>QX1410</u>	Wild-type <u>C. briggsae</u>	Andersen lab

Acknowledgements:

We express our gratitude to Gupta lab members for their assistance with techniques and reagents. Special thanks to Erik Andersen and Gavin Woodruff for their insightful discussions. The VX34 and QX1410 isolates were generously provided by the Erik Andersen lab. Additional wild isolates were obtained from the *Caenorhabditis* Genetics Center (CGC), which is funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440).

References

Brenner S. 1974. The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 77: 71-94. DOI: 10.1093/genetics/77.1.71

Delattre M, Félix MA. 2001. Polymorphism and evolution of vulval precursor cell lineages within two nematode genera, *Caenorhabditis* and *Oscheius*. Current Biology 11: 631-643. DOI: <u>10.1016/s0960-9822(01)00202-0</u>

Félix MA, Ashe A, Piffaretti Jp, Wu G, Nuez I, Bélicard T, et al., Wang. 2011. Natural and Experimental Infection of *Caenorhabditis* Nematodes by Novel Viruses Related to Nodaviruses. PLoS Biology 9: e1000586. DOI: <u>10.1371/journal.pbio.1000586</u>

Fitch DHA. 1997. Evolution of Male Tail Development in *Rhabditid* Nematodes Related to *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Systematic Biology 46: 145-179. DOI: <u>10.1093/sysbio/46.1.145</u>

Fitch DHA, Emmons SW. 1995. Variable Cell Positions and Cell Contacts Underlie Morphological Evolution of the Rays in the Male Tails of Nematodes Related to *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Developmental Biology 170: 564-582. DOI: 10.1006/dbio.1995.1237

Flemming AJ, Shen ZZ, Cunha A, Emmons SW, Leroi AM. 2000. Somatic polyploidization and cellular proliferation drive body size evolution in nematodes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 97: 5285-5290. DOI: <u>10.1073/pnas.97.10.5285</u>

Franz CJ, Zhao G, Félix MA, Wang D. 2012. Complete Genome Sequence of Le Blanc Virus, a Third *Caenorhabditis* Nematode-Infecting Virus. Journal of Virology 86: 11940-11940. DOI: <u>10.1128/JVI.02025-12</u>

Frézal L, Jung H, Tahan S, Wang D, Félix MA. 2019. Noda-Like RNA Viruses Infecting *Caenorhabditis* Nematodes: Sympatry, Diversity, and Reassortment. Journal of Virology 93: 10.1128/jvi.01170-19. DOI: <u>10.1128/JVI.01170-19</u>

Gumienny TL. 2013. TGF-β signaling in *C. elegans*. WormBook : 1-34. DOI: <u>10.1895/wormbook.1.22.2</u>



Gupta BP, Sternberg PW. 2003. The draft genome sequence of the nematode *Caenorhabditis briggsae*, a companion to *C*. *elegans*. Genome Biology 4: 238. DOI: <u>10.1186/gb-2003-4-12-238</u>

Hammerschmith EW, Woodruff GC, Moser KA, Johnson E, Phillips PC. 2022. Opposing directions of stage-specific body shape change in a close relative of *C. elegans*. BMC Zoology 7: 10.1186/s40850-022-00131-y. DOI: <u>10.1186/s40850-022-00131-y</u>.

Inoue T, Ailion M, Poon S, Kim HK, Thomas JH, Sternberg PW. 2007. Genetic Analysis of Dauer Formation in*Caenorhabditis briggsae*. Genetics 177: 809-818. DOI: <u>10.1534/genetics.107.078857</u>

Kammenga JE, Doroszuk A, Riksen JAG, Hazendonk E, Spiridon L, Petrescu AJ, et al., Bakker. 2007. A *Caenorhabditis elegans* Wild Type Defies the Temperature–Size Rule Owing to a Single Nucleotide Polymorphism in *tra-3*. PLoS Genetics 3: e34. DOI: <u>10.1371/journal.pgen.0030034</u>

Maulana MI, Riksen JAG, Snoek BL, Kammenga JE, Sterken MG. 2022. The genetic architecture underlying body-size traits plasticity over different temperatures and developmental stages in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Heredity 128: 313-324. DOI: <u>10.1038/s41437-022-00528-y</u>

Nyaanga J, Andersen EC. 2022. Linkage mapping reveals loci that underlie differences in *Caenorhabditis elegans* growth. G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics 12: 10.1093/g3journal/jkac207. DOI: <u>10.1093/g3journal/jkac207</u>

O'Rourke EJ, Soukas AA, Carr CE, Ruvkun G. 2009. *C. elegans* Major Fats Are Stored in Vesicles Distinct from Lysosome-Related Organelles. Cell Metabolism 10: 430-435. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.cmet.2009.10.002</u>

Ranawade A, Mallick A, Gupta BP. 2018. PRY-1/Axin signaling regulates lipid metabolism in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. PLOS ONE 13: e0206540. DOI: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0206540</u>

Rezai P, Salam S, Selvaganapathy PR, Gupta BP. 2011. Effect of pulse direct current signals on electrotactic movement of nematodes *Caenorhabditis elegans and Caenorhabditis briggsae*. Biomicrofluidics 5: 10.1063/1.3665224. DOI: 10.1063/1.3665224

Stiernagle T. 2006. Maintenance of *C. elegans*. WormBook : 10.1895/wormbook.1.101.1. DOI: <u>10.1895/wormbook.1.101.1</u>

Van Voorhies WA. 1996. Bergmann Size Clines: A Simple Explanation for Their Occurrence in Ectotherms. Evolution 50: 1259-1264. DOI: <u>10.1111/j.1558-5646.1996.tb02366.x</u>

Wang X, Chamberlin HM. 2002. Multiple regulatory changes contribute to the evolution of the *Caenorhabditis lin-48 ovo* gene. Genes & Development 16: 2345-2349. DOI: <u>10.1101/gad.996302</u>

Winston WM, Sutherlin M, Wright AJ, Feinberg EH, Hunter CP. 2007. *Caenorhabditis elegans* SID-2 is required for environmental RNA interference. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 104: 10565-10570. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0611282104

Yen K, Le TT, Bansal A, Narasimhan SD, Cheng JX, Tissenbaum HA. 2010. A Comparative Study of Fat Storage Quantitation in Nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* Using Label and Label-Free Methods. PLoS ONE 5: e12810. DOI: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0012810</u>

Funding:

Supported by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (Canada) to Bhagwati P Gupta.

Author Contributions: Nikita Jhaveri: investigation, formal analysis, data curation, methodology, validation, writing - original draft. Harvir Bhullar: investigation, formal analysis, data curation, validation, writing - original draft. Bhagwati Gupta: conceptualization, writing - original draft, writing - review editing, funding acquisition, supervision.

Reviewed By: Zhongying Zhao

Nomenclature Validated By: Anonymous

WormBase Paper ID: WBPaper00067353

History: Received July 27, 2024 Revision Received October 9, 2024 Accepted October 30, 2024 Published Online November 1, 2024 Indexed November 15, 2024

Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.



Citation: Jhaveri, N; Bhullar, H; Gupta, B (2024). A Comparative Study of Life History Traits in *C. briggsae* and *C. elegans*. microPublication Biology. <u>10.17912/micropub.biology.001299</u>