

# One of these strains is not like the others: *C. elegans* DW102 has an altered dependence on *brc-1* and *brd-1* for regulation of *cyp* gene transcription

Ishor Thapa<sup>1</sup>, Marlo K. Sellin Jeffries<sup>1</sup>, Mikaela D. Stewart<sup>1§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Biology Department, Texas Christian University, Fort Worth, Texas, United States

<sup>§</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed: mikaela.stewart@tcu.edu

# Abstract

Several strains of *Caenorhabditis elegans* with mutations in <u>brc-1</u> or <u>brd-1</u> are readily available to aid in elucidating the functions of these two genes in DNA damage repair, meiosis, and gene repression. <u>DW102</u> is the only *C. elegans* strain to our knowledge with mutations in both <u>brc-1</u> and <u>brd-1</u>. However, several groups have reported the <u>DW102</u> strain is indistinguishable from wild-type when observing levels of embryonic lethality, sensitivity to radiation, and rates of male progeny, while strains with mutations in either <u>brc-1</u> or <u>brd-1</u> display increased occurrence of these phenotypes. Here, RT-qPCR analysis of the *cyp-13A* gene family, reveals distinctive and aberrant expression patterns in <u>DW102</u> compared to other <u>brc-1</u> or <u>brd-1</u> mutant strains underscoring the need for caution in choosing this strain to draw conclusions about <u>brc-1</u> and <u>brd-1</u> functions.





The expression profiles of <u>*cyp-13A2*</u>, <u>*cyp-13A4*</u>, <u>*cyp-13A5*</u>, <u>*cyp-13A6*</u>, <u>*cyp-13A7*</u>, <u>*cyp-13A8*</u>, <u>*cyp-13A10*</u>, <u>*cyp-13A11*</u>, and <u>*cyp-13A12*</u> in wild-type <u>N2</u> (WT) and <u>DW102</u> strains were assessed using RT-qPCR and normalized to the expression of the reference gene, <u>*tba-1*</u>. Data are presented as the mean starting quantity relative to WT. Error bars represent the standard error



#### 6/4/2024 - Open Access

of the mean ( $\pm$  SEM) for four biological replicates. The deviation from WT was determined using student's t-test with \* indicating a statistically significant value (p-value < 0.05).

## Description

We recently reported repression of *cyp* genes as a conserved function shared by the human tumor-suppressor genes *BRCA1* and *BARD1* and the *C. elegans* homologs <u>brc-1</u> and <u>brd-1</u> (Thapa et al., 2023). Proteins produced by these genes, <u>BRC-1</u> and <u>BRD-1</u>, form a heterodimer that allows for ubiquitylation of nucleosomes on histone H2A. In human cells, ubiquitylated H2A is the signal for *cyp* gene repression, and loss of either *BRCA1* or *BARD1* leads to loss of *cyp* gene repression (Savage et al., 2014; Stewart et al., 2018). Likewise, in *C. elegans* we reported loss of repression of <u>cyp-13A11</u> and <u>cyp-13A5</u> in a CRISPR-generated <u>brc-1</u> deletion allele (<u>gk5332</u>) and in a <u>brd-1</u> mutant allele (<u>dw1</u>). However, mutation of <u>brc-1</u> led to loss of repression of additional *cyp* genes (<u>cyp-13A2</u> and <u>cyp-13A10</u>) that are not affected by the <u>brd-1</u> mutation, while <u>brd-1</u> mutation led to loss of repression of other *cyp* genes (<u>cyp-13A4</u>, <u>cyp-13A6</u>, <u>cyp-13A8</u>, and <u>cyp-13A12</u>) not observed for the <u>brc-1</u> mutant.

To determine if different profiles for the two strains are due to independent functions of <u>BRC-1</u> and <u>BRD-1</u>, we measured expression of all detectable *cyp-13A* genes (*cyp-13A2*, *cyp-13A4*, *cyp-13A5*, *cyp-13A6*, *cyp-13A7*, *cyp-13A8*, *cyp-13A10*, *cyp-13A11*, and *cyp-13A12*) in the <u>DW102</u> strain using RT-qPCR. This <u>DW102</u> strain was originally described as a *brc-1* mutant strain and is commonly referred to as the *brc-1(tm1145*) allele, but has been used in recent years as a double *brc-1/brd-1* mutant after *brd-1* genotyping revealed mutation of both genes (Janisiw et al., 2018). The expectation is that mutation of both genes would result in loss of repression of all eight *cyp* genes impacted by either *brc-1* or *brd-1*. Contrary to expectation, we only observed increased expression of one of the expected genes, *cyp-13A5* (Figure 1). Surprisingly, we report the opposite effect in expression of *cyp-13A11*; while loss of *brc-1* or *brd-1* function led to increases in expression (Thapa et al., 2023), here we observed decreased expression in <u>DW102</u> compared to wild-type (WT). <u>BRC-1</u> and <u>BRD-1</u> are predicted to mediate gene repression through H2A ubiquitylation, so the continued repression of many *cyp-13A* genes in the <u>DW102</u> strain is particularly surprising in light of the finding that <u>DW102</u> *C. elegans* demonstrate loss of ubiquitylated H2A in proximity to satellite repeats in a comparable fashion to *C. elegans* with the *brc-1(ok1261)* allele (Padeken et al., 2019). It is important to note that ubiquitylated H2A levels were measured in L1 larval stage, while the expression patterns reported here are in a later larval stage, L4.

Several other <u>brc-1</u> and <u>brd-1</u> phenotypes differ between the widely-used <u>DW102</u> strain and other <u>brc-1</u> or <u>brd-1</u> mutant strains. The embryonic lethality, production of male progeny, and sensitivity to irradiation is similar for <u>DW102</u> and WT, while another <u>brc-1</u> mutant allele (<u>xoe4</u>) and <u>brd-1</u> mutant alleles (<u>ok1623</u> and <u>dw1</u>) show significant increases in these three phenotypes compared to WT (Li et al., 2018). Furthermore, CRISPR-generated <u>brc-1</u> null mutant strains are unable to load exogenous <u>BRD-1</u> onto DNA during meiosis, while the <u>DW102</u> strain does not demonstrate this defect (Janisiw et al., 2018). More recently, Hariri et al. (2023) reported enhanced embryonic lethality in double mutant *C. elegans* carrying a <u>hsr-9</u> mutation along with the <u>brc-1(xoe4</u>) or <u>brd-1(xoe18</u>) allele, but <u>DW102</u> animals with a <u>hsr-9</u> mutation have rates of embryonic lethality that are indistinguishable from wild type. While some of the observed affects could be explained by <u>DW102</u> retaining some <u>BRC-1</u> functions (the resulting <u>BRC-1</u> protein in <u>DW102</u> is missing 71 amino acids from a domain of unknown function) (Janisiw et al., 2018), it is a challenge to envision how this hypothesis explains the WT-like phenotypes in the absence of <u>brd-1</u> and the observed decrease in <u>cyp-13A11</u> expression compared to WT. Given that <u>brc-1</u> and <u>brd-1</u> are separated by many genes on chromosome III and both <u>brc-1</u> and <u>brd-1</u> contain mutations in <u>DW102</u>, a more likely hypothesis is that this strain contains additional gene mutations that are unreported. We urge caution when interpreting <u>BRC-1</u> and <u>BRD-1</u> functions based on data generated from the <u>DW102</u> strain and suggest parallel use of additional <u>brc-1</u> and <u>brd-1</u> mutant strains if <u>DW102</u> must be utilized in future experiments.

## Methods

*C. elegans* strains and maintenance: Worm WT (<u>N2</u> Bristol) was generously provided by Dr. Phil Hartman (Texas Christian University, Texas, USA). The strain of <u>DW102</u> [*brc-1(tm1145*)] was acquired from Caenorhabditis Genetics Center, University of Minnesota (funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs P40 OD010440). All *C. elegans* were maintained at 20°C on nematode growth media (NGM) seeded with *E. coli* <u>OP50</u>. A synchronized population of L1 *C. elegans* was grown

#### 6/4/2024 - Open Access

on *E. coli*-seeded NGM plates to obtain L4-stage synchronization. A pool of 2000 L4 worms per biological replicate was flash-frozen using dry ice and stored at -80°C until RNA isolation. Four biological replicates were processed for each strain.

RNA isolation and RT-qPCR: RNA isolation and RT-qPCR procedures were followed as previously described (Thapa et al., 2023). Briefly, for each biological replicate, total RNA was extracted from a pool of 2000 flash frozen L4 worms using the Maxwell 16 LEV simplyRNA Tissue kit. Total RNA was quantified and assessed for purity via spectrophotometric analysis carried out by the NanoDrop 1000 (ThermoFisher Scientific), and all samples were confirmed to have 260/280 absorbance ratios > 2.0. First-strand DNA synthesis reactions, containing 2 mL of qScript cDNA Supermix (Quantabio) and 8 mL of 50 ng/mL RNA diluted into nuclease-free water, were carried out using a TC100 thermal cycler (BioRad) with a program of 5 min at 25°C followed by 30 min at 42°C and 5 min at 85°C. Each qPCR reaction consisted of 0.4 mL of cDNA, 4.3 mL of nuclease-free water, 0.3 mL of primer mix, and 5 mL of SYBR Green FastMix (Quantabio) with an activation step at 95°C for 30 s, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 10 s and annealing for 15 s at the primer-specific temperatures reported previously (Thapa et al., 2023). The optimal cycle threshold was set automatically by the CFX software program. Standard curves were generated from serially-diluted standards ran on each plate. The equation of the best-fit line describing the relationship between the starting quantity (SQ) of cDNA standards and the Ct values obtained were used to calculate SQ of the samples from their Ct values and estimate the efficiency of primers (80-97%). A melt curve analysis was carried out for each run to ensure the presence of a single qPCR product. All samples were run in triplicate and any replicate showing a deviation exceeding two standard deviations from the mean for a specific gene was regarded as an outlier and excluded prior to analysis. A stable reference gene, *tba-1*, was used to normalize the gene expression data by presenting each sample as the mean *cyp* SQ relative to the mean *tba1* SQ. The average SQ of *tba-1* does not vary across strains. Data were analyzed and visualized using GraphPad Prism version 10.0. To enhance data visualization, gene expression data were normalized to the mean expression of the WT group and presented accordingly. To test for significant differences in gene expression between the <u>DW102</u> and WT (<u>N2</u>) groups, a student's t-test was performed and alpha was set to 0.05.

## **Acknowledgements:**

We thank the TCU Biology Department and Library for funding.

# References

Hariri S, Li Q, Engebrecht J. 2023. 53bp1 mutation enhances BRCA1 and BARD1 embryonic lethality in *C. elegans*. *MicroPubl Biol* 2023. PubMed ID: <u>37581122</u>

Janisiw E, Dello Stritto MR, Jantsch V, Silva N. 2018. BRCA1-BARD1 associate with the synaptonemal complex and procrossover factors and influence RAD-51 dynamics during *Caenorhabditis elegans* meiosis. *PLoS Genet* 14(11): e1007653. PubMed ID: <u>30383754</u>

Li Q, Saito TT, Martinez-Garcia M, Deshong AJ, Nadarajan S, Lawrence KS, et al., Engebrecht J. 2018. The tumor suppressor BRCA1-BARD1 complex localizes to the synaptonemal complex and regulates recombination under meiotic dysfunction in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *PLoS Genet* 14(11): e1007701. PubMed ID: <u>30383767</u>

Padeken J, Zeller P, Towbin B, Katic I, Kalck V, Methot SP, Gasser SM. 2019. Synergistic lethality between BRCA1 and H3K9me2 loss reflects satellite derepression. *Genes Dev* 33(7-8): 436-451. PubMed ID: <u>30804228</u>

Savage KI, Matchett KB, Barros EM, Cooper KM, Irwin GW, Gorski JJ, et al., Harkin DP. 2014. BRCA1 deficiency exacerbates estrogen-induced DNA damage and genomic instability. *Cancer Res* 74(10): 2773-2784. PubMed ID: <u>24638981</u>

Stewart MD, Zelin E, Dhall A, Walsh T, Upadhyay E, Corn JE, et al., Klevit RE. 2018. BARD1 is necessary for ubiquitylation of nucleosomal histone H2A and for transcriptional regulation of estrogen metabolism genes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 115(6): 1316-1321. PubMed ID: <u>29367421</u>

Thapa I, Vahrenkamp R, Witus SR, Lightle C, Falkenberg O, Sellin Jeffries MK, Klevit RE, Stewart MD. 2023. Conservation of transcriptional regulation by BRCA1 and BARD1 in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nucleic Acids Res* 51(5): 2108-2116. PubMed ID: <u>36250637</u>

## Funding:



#### 6/4/2024 - Open Access

Supported by National Institutes of Health (United States) GM135900 to MDS.

**Author Contributions:** Ishor Thapa: conceptualization, visualization, writing - original draft, formal analysis, investigation, methodology. Marlo K. Sellin Jeffries: writing - review editing, methodology, formal analysis. Mikaela D. Stewart: conceptualization, visualization, writing - original draft, funding acquisition.

### Reviewed By: Anonymous

Nomenclature Validated By: Anonymous

WormBase Paper ID: WBPaper00066806

History: Received February 9, 2024 Revision Received April 23, 2024 Accepted May 29, 2024 Published Online June 4, 2024 Indexed June 18, 2024

**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Citation:** Thapa, I; Sellin Jeffries, MK; Stewart, MD (2024). One of these strains is not like the others: *C. elegans* DW102 has an altered dependence on *brc-1* and *brd-1* for regulation of *cyp* gene transcription. microPublication Biology. 10.17912/micropub.biology.001152