

Gene model for the ortholog of Ilp5 in Drosophila ananassae

Megan E. Lawson¹, Madeline McAbee², Rae A. Lucas³, Scott Tanner², Jacqueline Wittke-Thompson³, Tara A. Pelletier⁴, Zeynep Ozsoy⁵, Rachel Sterne-Marr⁶, Chinmay P. Rele¹, Laura K Reed^{1§} ¹University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, US ²University of South Carolina Upstate, Spartanburg, SC USA ³University of St. Francis, Joliet, IL USA ⁴Radford University, Radford, VA USA ⁵Colorado Mesa University, Grand Junction, CO, USA ⁶Siena College, Loudonville, NY USA [§]To whom correspondence should be addressed: lreed1@ua.edu

Abstract

Gene model for the ortholog of Insulin-like peptide 5 (*Ilp5*) in the *D. ananassae* May 2011 (Agencourt dana_caf1/DanaCAF1) Genome Assembly (GenBank Accession: GCA_000005115.1) of *Drosophila ananassae*. This ortholog was characterized as part of a developing dataset to study the evolution of the Insulin/insulin-like growth factor signaling pathway (IIS) across the genus *Drosophila* using the Genomics Education Partnership gene annotation protocol for Course-based Undergraduate Research Experiences.







D.

Identity: 42/119 (35.3%), Similarity: 53/119 (44.5%), Gaps: 12/119 (10.1%)

Dmel_Ilp5-PB	1	MN	4FRSV	/IPV	/LLFI	LIPLL	LSA)AANS	SLRA-	-CGP	ALMD	MLRV	ACP	NGF	NSMF	AK-	51
		* *	*: :	:*	**.	****	••	:	:	**	* :	**	*	* *	* . : :	*	
DanaCAF1_Ilp5-PB	1	MRNLRTKN	4ICLI	LPW	VLLV	FIPLL	EGVI	TKRE	EVPMI	ICGE	QLTN	ELRK	ICG	NRF	NKVI	KKS	60
Dmel_Ilp5-PB	52	RGTL <mark>GLF</mark> I	OYEDH	ILAD	DLDSS	SE-SH	HMNS	SLSS1	IRRDFI	RGVV	DSCC	RKS-	CSF	STL	RAYC	DS	108
		:.**.**	** **	* *	* :*.		: *	: .	* :	*.*.	.**	:.	*:	* *	.:**	*	
DanaCAF1_Ilp5-PB	61	QNTL <mark>NLFI</mark>	DYVDF	ILEI	DNESI	DIFGP	NQNI	JVMQN	ISRVII	RNVA	WECC	THGP	CTR	SHL	ESYC	-S	118

Figure 1. Genomic neighborhood and gene model for *Ilp5* in *D. ananassae*:

(A) Synteny of genomic neighborhood of *Ilp5* in *D. melanogaster* and *D. ananassae*. Gene arrows pointing in the same direction as the target gene in both *D. ananassae* and *D. melanogaster* are on the same strand as the target gene; gene arrows pointing in the opposite direction are on the opposite strand. The thin underlying arrows pointing to the right indicate that *Ilp5* is on the + strand; arrows pointing to the left indicate that *Ilp5* is on the – strand. White arrows in *D. ananassae* indicate the locus ID and orthology to the corresponding gene in *D. melanoqaster*, and black arrows indicate a non-orthologous gene. The gene names given in the D. ananassae gene arrows indicate the orthologous gene in D. melanoqaster, while the locus identifiers are specific to D. ananassae. (B) Gene Model in UCSC Track Hub (Raney et al. 2014): the gene model in D. ananassae (black), Spaln of D. melanogaster Proteins (purple, alignment of RefSeq proteins from D. melanogaster), BLAT alignments of NCBI RefSeq Genes (blue, alignment of RefSeq genes for *D. ananassae*), RNA-Seq from adult females (red), adult males (blue), and Wolbachia-cured embryos (pink) (alignment of Illumina RNA-Seq reads from D. ananassae), and Transcripts (green) including coding regions predicted by TransDecoder and Splice Junctions Predicted by regtools using D. ananassae RNA-Seq (Gravely et al., 2011; SRP006203, PRJNA257286, SRP007906, PRJNA388952). The splice junction supporting the Ilp5-PB model has a read-depth of 30, with 10-49, 100-499, and >1000 supporting reads in blue, pink, and red respectively. The custom gene model (User Supplied Track) is indicated in black with CDSs depicted by boxes and introns by narrow lines (arrows indicate direction of transcription). (C) Dot Plot of Ilp5-PB in D. melanogaster (x-axis) vs. the orthologous peptide in *D. ananassae* (y-axis). Amino acid number is indicated along the left and bottom; CDS number is indicated along the top and right; CDSs are also highlighted with alternating colors. The default word size required to indicate sequence similarity in this dot plot is six, and as there are not any completely conserved sequences that span larger than six amino acids in this gene, no line is shown. (D) Protein alignment of Ilp5-PB in D. melanogaster (top row) vs. the orthologous peptide in *D. ananassae* (bottom row). The amino acids in Ilp5-PB are indicated in each species' respective row, with CDSs being highlighted in alternating colors. Asterisks between the protein alignment from each species indicate that the amino acid at that position is the same, colons and periods indicate the amino acids are very similar structurally (colons at a higher extent and periods at a lesser extent), and empty space indicates the amino acids are not similar. Lines in the protein sequence in either species indicate that there are gaps in that portion of the alignment for that species.

Description

This article reports a predicted gene model generated by undergraduate work using a structured gene model annotation protocol defined by the Genomics Education Partnership (GEP; thegep.org) for Course-based Undergraduate Research Experience (CURE). The following information in this box may be repeated in other articles submitted by participants using the same GEP CURE protocol for annotating Drosophila species orthologs of Drosophila melanogaster genes in the insulin signaling pathway.

"In this GEP CURE protocol students use web-based tools to manually annotate genes in non-model *Drosophila* species based on orthology to genes in the well-annotated model organism fruitfly *Drosophila melanogaster*. The GEP uses web-based tools to allow undergraduates to participate in course-based research by generating manual annotations of genes in non-model species (Rele et al., 2023). Computational-based gene predictions in any organism are often improved by careful manual annotation and curation, allowing for more accurate analyses of gene and genome evolution (Mudge and Harrow 2016; Tello-Ruiz et al., 2019). These models of orthologous genes across species, such as the one presented here, then provide a reliable basis for further evolutionary genomic analyses when made available to the scientific community." (Myers et al., 2024).

"The particular gene ortholog described here was characterized as part of a developing dataset to study the evolution of the Insulin/insulin-like growth factor signaling pathway (IIS) across the genus *Drosophila*. The Insulin/insulin-like growth factor signaling pathway (IIS) is a highly conserved signaling pathway in animals and is central to mediating organismal responses to nutrients (Hietakangas and Cohen 2009; Grewal 2009)." (Myers et al., 2024).

We propose a gene model for the *D. ananassae* ortholog of the *D. melanogaster* Insulin-like peptide 5 (*Ilp5*) gene. The genomic region of the ortholog corresponds to the uncharacterized protein <u>XP 001956270.2</u> (Locus ID LOC6502822) in the May 2011 (Agencourt dana_caf1/DanaCAF1) Genome Assembly of *D. ananassae* (<u>GCA 000005115.1</u> - Drosophila 12 Genomes Consortium et al., 2007). This model is based on RNA-Seq data from *D. ananassae* (<u>SRP006203</u>, <u>PRJNA257286</u>, <u>SRP007906</u>, <u>PRJNA388952</u>) and *Ilp5* in *D. melanogaster* using FlyBase release FB2023_03 (<u>GCA 000001215.4</u>; Larkin et al., 2021; Gramates et al., 2022; Jenkins et al., 2022).

In animals, the pathway plays a central role in growth, development, reproduction, in addition to metabolism (Semaniuk et al., 2021). In *Drosophila*, signaling starts when Insulin-like peptides (Ilp), of which there are eight, bind to their receptors. Ilp5 shows particular conservation from *Drosophila* to mammals, binding to insect insulin-binding proteins, and also shown to

lower blood glucose levels when administered to rats (Sajid et al., 2011). In *Drosophila, Ilp5* expression is highest in the insulin-producing cells in the brain, but also found expressed in the gut and ovaries of larvae, and neuroendocrine cells of both adults and larva (Semaniuk et al., 2021). Flies lacking Ilp5 function show defects in fertility, (Grönke et al. 2010; Strilbystka et al. 2020), behavior (Semaniuk et al. 2018; Strilbystka et al. 2020), metabolism (Semaniuk et al. 2018; Strilbystka et al. 2020), and lifespan (Grönke et al. 2010).

D. ananassae (NCBI:txid7217) is part of the *melanogaster* species group within the subgenus *Sophophora* of the genus *Drosophila* (Sturtevant 1939; Bock and Wheeler 1972). It was first described by Doleschall (1858). *D. ananassae* is circumtropical (Markow and O'Grady 2005; https://www.taxodros.uzh.ch, accessed 1 Feb 2023), and often associated with human settlement (Singh 2010). It has been extensively studied as a model for its cytogenetic and genetic characteristics, and in experimental evolution (Kikkawa 1938; Singh and Yadav 2015).

Synteny

Ilp5 occurs on chromosome 3L in *D. melanogaster* and is nested by <u>CG43897</u>, flanked upstream by <u>I-2</u> and <u>Cdk8</u>, and flanked downstream by <u>CG32052</u> and <u>Ilp1</u>. <u>CG32052</u> nests <u>Ilp4</u>, <u>Ilp3</u>, and <u>Ilp2</u>. We determined that the putative ortholog of <u>Ilp5</u> is found on scaffold 13337 (CH902618.1) in D. ananassae (CAF1 assembly GCA 000005115.1) with LOC6502822 (XP 001956270.2) (via *blastp* search with an e-value of 8e-09 and percent identity of 39.51%), where it is nested by LOC6507311 (XP 032310388.1), which corresponds to CG43897 in D. melanogaster with an e-value of 0.0 and percent identity of 68.77%, as determined by *blastp*. The *llp5* ortholog is flanked upstream by LOC6507750 (XP 001956268.3) and LOC6507312 (XP 001956267.1), which correspond to I-2 and iPLA2-VIA in D. melanogaster with e-values of 1e-84 and 0.0 respectively and percent identities of 72.50% and 90.87% respectively, as determined by *blastp*. The <u>*llp5*</u> ortholog is flanked downstream by LOC6507310 (XP 014765147.1) and LOC6507308 (XP 001956275.2), which correspond to CG32052 and <u>*Ilp1*</u> in *D. melanogaster* with e-values of 0.0 and 4e-24 respectively and percent identities of 86.67% and 52.46% respectively, as determined by *blastp*. The CG32052 ortholog (LOC6507310, XP 014765147.1) nests LOC6507751 (XP 032309882.1), LOC6507752 (XP 001956273.1), and LOC6507309 (XP 001956274.1), which correspond to Ilp4, Ilp3, and Ilp2 in D. melanogaster with e-values of 7e-28, 1e-21, and 2e-27 respectively and percent identities of 48.91%, 46.32%, and 46.79% respectively, as determined by *blastp* (Figure 1A, Altschul et al., 1990). We argue this is the correct ortholog assignment for <u>Ilp5</u> in *D. ananassae* because all but one gene in the local genomic neighborhood are syntenic and all of the *blastp* results used to determine orthology were of high-quality.

Protein Model

<u>Ilp5</u> in *D. ananassae* has one protein coding isoform, Ilp5-PB (Figure 1B). Isoform Ilp5-PB contains two CDSs. This is the same relative to the ortholog in *D. melanogaster*, which also has one protein-coding isoform with two CDSs. The sequence of <u>Ilp5</u> in *D. ananassae* has 35.3% identity with the <u>Ilp5</u> in *D. melanogaster* as determined by *blastp* (Figure 1C). The coordinates of the curated gene models can be found in NCBI at GenBank/BankIt using the accession BK064649. These data are also available in Extended Data files below, which are archived in CaltechData.

Special characteristics of the Protein Model

The dot plot for the alignment of the Ilp5-PB sequences in *D. melanogaster* and *D. ananassae* (Figure C) does not show any regions of sequence similarity. This is due to the default parameters of the dot plot requiring a word size of six, which is not present. However, the protein alignment (Figure D) shows that while there are no consecutive identities of six or higher, there are regions of Ilp5-PB that are conserved between *D. ananassae* and *D. melanogaster*. The lack of a full six-length word could be the result of mutations accumulating over time, in combination with the small size of this gene.

Methods

Detailed methods including algorithms, database versions, and citations for the complete annotation process can be found in Rele et al. (2023). Briefly, students use the GEP instance of the UCSC Genome Browser v.435 (<u>https://gander.wustl.edu;</u> Kent WJ et al., 2002; Navarro Gonzalez et al., 2021) to examine the genomic neighborhood of their reference IIS gene in the *D. melanogaster* genome assembly (Aug. 2014; BDGP Release 6 + ISO1 MT/dm6). Students then retrieve the protein sequence for the *D. melanogaster* target gene for a given isoform and run it using *tblastn* against their target *Drosophila* species genome assembly (*D. ananassae;* <u>GCA 000005115.1</u>) on the NCBI BLAST server (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi, Altschul et al., 1990) to identify potential orthologs. To validate the potential ortholog, students compare the local genomic neighborhood of their potential ortholog with the genomic neighborhood of their reference gene in *D. melanogaster*. This local synteny analysis includes at minimum the two upstream and downstream genes relative to their putative ortholog. They also explore other sets of genomic evidence using multiple alignment tracks in the Genome Browser, including BLAT alignments of RefSeq Genes, Spaln alignment of D. melanogaster proteins, multiple gene prediction tracks (e.g., GeMoMa, Geneid,

Augustus), and modENCODE RNA-Seq from the target species. Genomic structure information (e.g., CDSs, CDS boundaries, number of isoforms) for the *D. melanogaster* reference gene is retrieved through the Gene Record Finder (https://gander.wustl.edu/~wilson/dmelgenerecord/index.html; Rele et al., 2023). Approximate splice sites within the target gene are determined using *tblastn* using the CDSs from the *D. melanogaster* reference gene. Coordinates of CDSs are then refined by examining aligned modENCODE RNA-Seq data, and by applying paradigms of molecular biology such as identifying canonical splice site sequences and ensuring the maintenance of an open reading frame across hypothesized splice sites. Students then confirm the biological validity of their target gene model using the Gene Model Checker (https://gander.wustl.edu/~wilson/dmelgenerecord/index.html; Rele et al., 2023), which compares the structure and translated sequence from their hypothesized target gene model against the *D. melanogaster* reference gene model. At least two independent models for each gene are generated by students under mentorship of their faculty course instructors. These models are then reconciled by a third independent researcher mentored by the project leaders to produce a final model like the one presented here. Note: comparison of 5' and 3' UTR sequence information is not included in this GEP CURE protocol.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Wilson Leung, who created and maintains the GEP technological infrastructure. We would also like to thank Sarah P. Crocker-Buta for helping us submit the model to the TPA database, and the constructive comments from the review panel. FlyBase is supported by grants: NHGRI U41HG000739 and U24HG010859, UK Medical Research Council MR/W024233/1, NSF 2035515 and 2039324, BBSRC BB/T014008/1, and Wellcome Trust PLM13398.

Extended Data

Description: ZIP file containing the FNA, FAA, and GTF of the generated model.. Resource Type: Model. File: <u>DanaCAF1 Ilp5.zip</u>. DOI: <u>10.22002/56zzc-nhb19</u>

References

Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol. 215: 403-410. PubMed ID: <u>2231712</u>

Bock IR., Wheeler MR. 1972. The Drosophila melanogaster species-group. The University of Texas Publication. 7213: 1-102. FBrf0024428.

Brogiolo W, Stocker H, Ikeya T, Rintelen F, Fernandez R, Hafen E. 2001. An evolutionarily conserved function of the Drosophila insulin receptor and insulin-like peptides in growth control. Curr Biol 11(4): 213-21. PubMed ID: <u>11250149</u>

Broughton SJ, Slack C, Alic N, Metaxakis A, Bass TM, Driege Y, Partridge L. 2010. DILP-producing median neurosecretory cells in the Drosophila brain mediate the response of lifespan to nutrition. Aging Cell 9(3): 336-46. PubMed ID: <u>20156206</u>

Doleschall CL. 1858. Derde bijdrage tot de kennis der dipterologische fauna van Nederlandsch Indië. Natuurkundig tijdschrift voor Nederlandsch Indië. 17: 73.

Drosophila 12 Genomes Consortium, Clark Andrew G, Eisen Michael B, Smith Douglas R, Bergman Casey M, Oliver Brian, et al., MacCallum Iain. 2007. Evolution of genes and genomes on the Drosophila phylogeny. Nature. 450: 203-218. PubMed ID: <u>17994087</u>

Gramates LS, Agapite J, Attrill H, Calvi BR, Crosby MA, Dos Santos G, et al., the FlyBase Consortium. 2022. FlyBase: a guided tour of highlighted features. Genetics 220(4). PubMed ID: <u>35266522</u>

Grewal SS. 2009. Insulin/TOR signaling in growth and homeostasis: a view from the fly world. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 41(5): 1006-10. PubMed ID: <u>18992839</u>

Grönke S, Clarke DF, Broughton S, Andrews TD, Partridge L. 2010. Molecular evolution and functional characterization of Drosophila insulin-like peptides. PLoS Genet 6(2): e1000857. PubMed ID: <u>20195512</u>

Hietakangas V, Cohen SM. 2009. Regulation of tissue growth through nutrient sensing. Annu Rev Genet 43: 389-410. PubMed ID: <u>19694515</u>

Jenkins VK, Larkin A, Thurmond J, FlyBase Consortium. 2022. Using FlyBase: A Database of Drosophila Genes and Genetics. Methods Mol Biol 2540: 1-34. PubMed ID: <u>35980571</u>

Kent WJ, Sugnet CW, Furey TS, Roskin KM, Pringle TH, Zahler AM, Haussler D. 2002. The human genome browser at UCSC. Genome Res 12(6): 996-1006. PubMed ID: <u>12045153</u>

Kikkawa H. 1938. Studies on the genetics and cytology of Drosophila ananassae. Genetica 20: 458-516. DOI: <u>10.1007/bf01531779</u>



Larkin A, Marygold SJ, Antonazzo G, Attrill H, Dos Santos G, Garapati PV, et al., FlyBase Consortium. 2021. FlyBase: updates to the Drosophila melanogaster knowledge base. Nucleic Acids Res 49(D1): D899-D907. PubMed ID: <u>33219682</u>

Markow TA, O'Grady, P. 2005. Drosophila: A guide to species identification and use. Academic Press 978-0-12-473052-6

Millington JW, Brownrigg GP, Basner-Collins PJ, Sun Z, Rideout EJ. 2021. Genetic manipulation of insulin/insulin-like growth factor signaling pathway activity has sex-biased effects on Drosophila body size. G3 (Bethesda) 11(3). PubMed ID: <u>33793746</u>

Mudge JM, Harrow J. 2016. The state of play in higher eukaryote gene annotation. Nat Rev Genet 17(12): 758-772. PubMed ID: <u>27773922</u>

Myers A, Hoffmann A, Natysin M, Arsham A M, Stamm J, Thompson J S, Rele C P. 2024. Gene model for the ortholog *Myc* in *Drosophila ananassae*, microPublication Biology. 10.17912/micropub.biology.000856. DOI: 10.17912/micropub.biology.000856

Navarro Gonzalez J, Zweig AS, Speir ML, Schmelter D, Rosenbloom KR, Raney BJ, et al., Kent WJ. 2021. The UCSC Genome Browser database: 2021 update. Nucleic Acids Res 49(D1): D1046-D1057. PubMed ID: <u>33221922</u>

Raney BJ, Dreszer TR, Barber GP, Clawson H, Fujita PA, Wang T, et al., Kent WJ. 2014. Track data hubs enable visualization of user-defined genome-wide annotations on the UCSC Genome Browser. Bioinformatics 30(7): 1003-5. PubMed ID: 24227676

Rele CP, Sandlin KM, Leung W, Reed LK. 2023. Manual annotation of Drosophila genes: a Genomics Education Partnership protocol. F1000Research 11: 1579. DOI: <u>10.12688/f1000research.126839.2</u>

Sajid W, Kulahin N, Schluckebier G, Ribel U, Henderson HR, Tatar M, et al., De Meyts P. 2011. Structural and biological properties of the Drosophila insulin-like peptide 5 show evolutionary conservation. J Biol Chem 286(1): 661-73. PubMed ID: 20974844

Schiesari L, Andreatta G, Kyriacou CP, O'Connor MB, Costa R. 2016. The Insulin-Like Proteins dILPs-2/5 Determine Diapause Inducibility in Drosophila. PLoS One 11(9): e0163680. PubMed ID: <u>27689881</u>

Semaniuk UV, Gospodaryov DV, Feden'ko KM, Yurkevych IS, Vaiserman AM, Storey KB, Simpson SJ, Lushchak O. 2018. Insulin-Like Peptides Regulate Feeding Preference and Metabolism in Drosophila. Front Physiol 9: 1083. PubMed ID: <u>30197596</u>

Singh BN. 2010. Drosophila ananassae: a good model species for genetical, behavioural and evolutionary studies. Indian J Exp Biol 48(4): 333-45. PubMed ID: <u>20726331</u>

Singh BN, Yadav JP. 2015. Status of research on Drosophila ananassae at global level. J Genet 94(4): 785-92. PubMed ID: <u>26690536</u>

Strilbytska OM, Semaniuk UV, Storey KB, Yurkevych IS, Lushchak O. 2020. Insulin Signaling in Intestinal Stem and Progenitor Cells as an Important Determinant of Physiological and Metabolic Traits in Drosophila. Cells 9(4). PubMed ID: <u>32225024</u>

Sturtevant AH. 1939. On the Subdivision of the Genus Drosophila. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 25(3): 137-41. PubMed ID: 16577879

Tello-Ruiz MK, Marco CF, Hsu FM, Khangura RS, Qiao P, Sapkota S, et al., Micklos DA. 2019. Double triage to identify poorly annotated genes in maize: The missing link in community curation. PLoS One 14(10): e0224086. PubMed ID: <u>31658277</u>

Wigby S, Slack C, Grönke S, Martinez P, Calboli FC, Chapman T, Partridge L. 2011. Insulin signalling regulates remating in female Drosophila. Proc Biol Sci 278(1704): 424-31. PubMed ID: <u>20739318</u>

Funding: This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. IUSE-1915544 to LKR and the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health Award R25GM130517 to LKR. The Genomics Education Partnership is fully financed by Federal moneys. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. Supported by National Institutes of Health (United States) R25GM130517 to LK Reed. ,Supported by National Science Foundation (United States) 1915544 to LK Reed.

Author Contributions: Megan E. Lawson: formal analysis, validation, writing - original draft, writing - review editing. Madeline McAbee: formal analysis, writing - review editing. Rae A. Lucas: formal analysis, writing - review editing. Scott

Tanner: supervision, writing - review editing. Jacqueline Wittke-Thompson: supervision, writing - review editing. Tara A. Pelletier: writing - original draft, writing - review editing. Zeynep Ozsoy: writing - original draft, writing - review editing. Rachel Sterne-Marr: writing - original draft, writing - review editing. Chinmay P. Rele: data curation, formal analysis, methodology, project administration, software, supervision, validation, visualization, writing - review editing. Laura K Reed: supervision, funding acquisition, conceptualization, project administration, writing - review editing.

Reviewed By: Anonymous, GEP Review Panel 2

Nomenclature Validated By: Anonymous

History: Received February 22, 2023 Revision Received March 14, 2024 Accepted December 1, 2024 Published Online December 8, 2024 Indexed December 22, 2024

Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Citation: Lawson, ME; McAbee, M; Lucas, RA; Tanner, S; Wittke-Thompson, J; Pelletier, TA; et al.; Reed, LK (2024). Gene model for the ortholog of *Ilp5* in *Drosophila ananassae*. microPublication Biology. <u>10.17912/micropub.biology.000782</u>